

RESPONSE OF WATERMELON VARIETIES (*Citrullus lanatus*) TO VARYING PLANTING DATES IN IKOM, NIGERIA

NKASI, F. M., UWAH, D. F., OBOK, E. E., AND NWAGWU, F. A.

Department of Crop Science, University of Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: florencenkasi@gmail.com

Abstract:

Field experiment was conducted under rainfed condition at Nlull- Nnam in Ikom Local Government Area of Cross River State, Nigeria to investigate response of watermelon varieties [*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb Mansf)] to varying planting dates. The treatments consisted of three planting dates (8th September, 28th September and 18th October, 2018) and three varieties of watermelon (Koloss, Kaolack and Sugarbaby). The treatments combination was arranged in split-plots fitted into randomized complete block design and replicated three times. The study revealed that the 8th September planting date influenced best growth and yield performance of watermelon as it out-yielded the 28th September and 18th October planting dates by 36.94 and 74.38% respectively for number of fruits produced per plant. Koloss variety was significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) superior to Kaolack and sugarbaby varieties in most of the vegetative growth and yield parameters. Koloss variety out-yielded Kaolack and Sugarbaby varieties by 45.33 and 117.11%, respectively for number of fruits recorded. Furthermore, Koloss variety also produced the heaviest and widest watermelon fruits than the other varieties. The interaction of Koloss variety and September 8 planting date, produced longer vine, higher number of leaves, higher number of fruits and higher total fruit yield (47.77t/ha) than the others. The production cost and economic returns analysis for the study also showed that, highest profit from watermelon production was maximized by the 8th September planting date with Koloss variety.

Key words: Varying Planting dates, response of watermelon varieties, growth and yield.

Introduction

Watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus* (Thunb Mansf)], is a native of tropical and sub-tropical Africa and belongs to the family cucurbitaceae (Guillaume *et al.*, 2014). David Livingstone (2005) verified this when he found watermelon growing wild in Kalahari Desert, Botswana in the 1850's (David, 2005). The crop is a vine fruit vegetable widely consumed around the world (Dupriez, 1998; Thulaja, 2005). Watermelon originated from Africa, then spread to Asia, Europe, North America and other parts of the world (Chomicki and Renner, 2005). China is the world leading producer of watermelon (FAO, 2018). In Nigeria production is mainly carried out in the Northern parts of the country, and there seems to be no official watermelon production figures available. However, the crop is now gaining recognition in other parts of Nigeria, particularly in the Southern forest zone which experiences some period of dry season suitable for the growth of the crop (Ibudialo *et al.*, 2013). An undamaged Watermelon fruits provides a germ-free substitute for water and this is possibly their most valuable quality as well as their rich nutritive value. Watermelon fruits contain vitamins, A, B (B6 and B12), proteins, carbohydrates and minerals such as iron, calcium, phosphorus and potassium. Watermelon fruit has been found to contain malic acid, fructose, glucose, amino acid, lycopene, carotene, fat and phosphoric acid (Sonia, 2011).

The choice of planting dates can significantly impact on the growth and yield of crops, particularly during critical developmental phases where plants require adequate moisture and ideal temperatures at planting and seedling establishment (Uwah *et al.*, 2014). Wrong planting dates selection subject crops to water and heat stresses (Passioura, 2007). Strivastava (2004) stated that planting dates have been shown to have different environmental

conditions. Some of the indices that influenced choice of planting dates include rainfall and temperature (Adekoya, 2008). Genetic differences in growth and yield among varieties exist (Tindal, 1986). The observed differences in growth pattern among watermelon varieties could be attributed to their inherent varietal characteristics (Uwah and Solomon, 1999).

Since watermelon is widely consumed around the world, and factors such as planting dates and varieties significantly influence its performance also in Nigeria watermelon production is mainly carried out in the northern savanna region of the country. Increase in the production is required to meet the ever-increasing demand of the crop in Nigeria. This study was therefore carried out in south-southern part of Nigeria, with a view to determining appropriate planting dates and suitable watermelon varieties for the area, to maximize its production.

Materials and Methods

Field experiment was carried out at Nlul-Nnam community in Ikom LGA of Cross River State, Nigeria, from September to December, 2018. Ikom is located on longitude $8^{\circ} 37'E$ and latitude $6^{\circ} 05'N$ and has an annual rainfall of 2000 – 2500 mm, with temperature range of 25.4 to $28.6^{\circ} C$, with a sandy loam soil (Ministry of Agriculture, Ikom, 2018). The experimental site was in a rainforest zone and has a slightly sloping topography. Watermelon seeds from three varieties (Koloss, Sugarbaby and Kaolack) were sourced from the Cross River Agricultural Development Project, Calabar. The planting dates used for the trial were (8th September, 28th September and 18th October). The experimental design used was split plot fitted into randomized complete block design (RCBD), with three replications. The gross plot size was 3.6x 3.6 m ($12.69 m^2$), while the net plot size was 1.2x 1.2 m ($1.44 m^2$). Each plot had 1 m path separating them while the replicates were separated by 1.5 m path. Soil Sample was collected and analyzed to determine the fertility status of the area (Ojeniyi,2010; Brady and Weil, 2014).

The experimental site was dominated with the following weeds; *Calapogonium mucunoides*, *Panicum maximum*, *Mucuna puriens*, *Pennisetum purpurum*, and *Chromolaena odorata*, the vegetation was cleared off with machete manually. The land was ploughed and harrowed into flat tilled beds, with the use of hand hoe. The watermelon was spaced 1.2 x 1.2 m ($1.44m^2$) with seeds sowed at a depth of 2 to 5 cm. Two seeds were sowed per hole and seedlings were later thinned to one per stand at 2 weeks after planting (WAP). Weeding was done manually with hand hoe and hand pulling at 3, 6 and 9 WAP. Data were collected for growth and yield parameters from four tagged plants in the net plot area. The data were first collected at 3 WAP and subsequently at every 2 weeks interval till harvesting. From the 4 tagged plants the following growth and yield attributes were determined: Length of vine, number of leaves per plant etc.

Data generated were subjected to analysis of variance using GENSTAT Package statistical soft- ware Version 8.1. Significant means were compared using Fisher's Least Significance difference at 5% level of probability. Production cost and economic return analysis was carried out following the method described by (Kingsley *et al.*, 2018).

Results and Discussion

The soil used for the experiment was sandyloam, slightly acidic (pH 5.4), high in base saturation (91.2%) with organic carbon and total contents of 0.8 and 0.09% respectively (Table 1). The soil was moderately high in available P (28.11mg/kg). The slightly acidic and high base saturation contents indicated high fertility status of the soil.

Results

Table 1. Physico-chemical of top soil (0-30 cm) of experimental site

Properties	Values
Sand (%)	93.3
Silt (%)	5.0
Clay (%)	1.7
Textural class	Sandy loam
Soil Ph (H ₂ O)	5.4
Organic Carbon(%)	0.8
Available P (mg/kg)	28.11
Total nitrogen (%)	0.09
Exch. Ca ⁺ (cmol/kg)	3.0
Exch. Mg (cmol/kg)	1.0
Exch. K (cmol/kg)	0.10
Exch. Na (cmol/kg)	0.09
Exch. H ⁺ (cmol/kg)	0.4
ECEC (cmol/kg)	4.55
Base Saturation (%)	91.2

Effect of Planting Dates on the Performance of Watermelon Varieties

The effect of planting date was significant on number of leaves, total dry matter weight, days to 50% flowering and days to first harvest (Tables 2 to 3). Equally, the effect of planting dates was significant on number of fruits per plant, weight of fruits at harvest (kg), fruit girth (cm) and total fruit yield (Table 4). The performance of watermelon at the 8th September planting date was better than those at the 28th September and 18th October planting dates for all the parameters measured. The September 8 planting date recorded the shortest number of days to first harvest (Table 3). The September 8 planting date out yielded the 28th September and the 18th October planting dates by 36.94 and 74.38% respectively for numbers of fruits produced per plant (Table 4). The 8th September planting date recorded significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) heavier fruit weights and wider fruit girths than the other planting dates. Similarly, the total fruit yield produced at the 8th September planting date was significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) higher than those at 28th September and 18th October planting dates. The September 8 planting date also recorded the shortest number of days to first harvest (Table 3). The best performance of watermelon shown at the September 8 planting date could be attributed to the differences in the agro meteorological conditions associated with the vegetative growth and yield of the crops (Table 8). Neidz et al., (1990); Musniki et al., (1999); Uwah et al., (2004) and Adekoya, (2008) explained in their works that environmental factors such as; rainfall, temperature, relative humidity and sunshine duration significantly influenced the growth and yield of watermelon, as well as crops in general.

Effect of Varieties on the Performance of Watermelon

Koloss variety of watermelon exhibited significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) longer main vine at 3 and 4WAP, higher number of leaves per plant at 7 and 9 WAP and higher total dry matter production. Koloss variety equally had significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) higher number of fruits, heavier weight of melon, wider fruit girth and higher total fruit yield per hectare (Tables 2,3 and 4). Koloss variety out-yielded Kaolack and Sugarbaby varieties by 45.33 and 117.11% respectively, for number of fruits per plant. koloss again out-yielded Kaolack and Sugarbaby varieties by 19.30 and 70.65% respectively for weight of melon produced, while for total fruit yield (t/ha) it out-yielded Kaolack and Sugarbaby varieties by 19.63 and 71.16% respectively. Koloss variety also produced melon with the widest girth than the other varieties, as shown in

(Table 3). The effect of varieties on number of days to first harvest revealed that Sugarbaby variety flowered earliest and had the shortest number of days to first harvest (Table 5).

Table 2: Influence of planting dates and varieties on number of leaves per plant of watermelon in Ikom

Treatments	Weeks after planting				
	3 WAP	5 WAP	7 WAP	9 WAP	11 WAP
Planting dates					
8 th September	9.53	34.20	113.30	129.20	131.31
28 th September	8.21	25.32	90.21	111.61	133.62
18 th October	6.44	20.05	86.22	97.82	98.90
LSD (0.05)	1.02	3.18	6.01	10.20	9.50
Varieties					
Koloss	8.45	33.35	117.71	128.83	129.51
Sugarbaby	6.23	24.74	94.24	106.32	120.62
Kaolack	8.11	29.18	114.60	125.70	128.90
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	2.14	2.55	NS
Interactions					
PD1 X V1	9.73	36.61	114.35	127.80	129.57
PD1 X V2	8.65	29.54	93.51	97.63	102.82
PD1 X V3	7.21	26.55	110.81	121.43	123.54
PD2 X V1	8.56	24.81	103.63	111.16	113.14
PD2 X V2	9.81	27.75	88.51	89.25	91.13
PD2 X V3	8.71	28.15	87.72	101.19	103.19
PD3 X V1	6.54	24.50	84.91	96.48	102.33
PD3 X V2	7.39	23.42	74.80	85.21	88.35
PD3 X V3	8.49	25.21	85.91	88.94	91.16
LSD (0.05)	NS	NS	3.07	NS	NS

PD (1,2,and 3), Varieties (1,2 and 3) and NS = Planting dates (8th September, 28th September and 18th October), Varieties (Koloss, Sugarbaby and Kaolack) and Not Significantly different at 5 percent level, using F-LSD

Table 3: Total dry matter (g), days to 50% flowering (per plant) and days to first harvest as Influenced by Planting dates and Varieties of Watermelon in Ikom

Treatments	Total dry matter (g)	Days to 50% flowering	Days to first harvest
Planting dates			
8 th September	23.58	31.78	72.18
28 th September	18.13	33.29	78.98
18 th October	17.08	33.50	80.61
LSD (0.05)	3.19	0.30	1.12
Varieties			
Koloss	22.48	31.00	70.11
Sugarbaby	17.11	29.22	65.61
Kaolack	20.30	33.00	73.06
LSD (0.05)	2.13	1.16	1.18
Interactions			
PD1 X V1	23.17	31.67	72.78
PD1 X V2	20.33	30.67	68.08
PD1 X V3	21.10	33.00	73.67
PD2 X V1	20.80	32.33	72.03
PD2 X V2	18.15	31.67	68.50
PD2 X V3	21.40	31.73	73.42
PD3 X V1	22.62	30.09	78.25
PD3 X V2	19.93	31.39	80.10
PD3 X V3	18.59	29.19	73.22
LSD (0.05)	NSS	NS	NS

PD (1,2,and 3), Varieties (1,2 and 3) and NS = Planting dates (8th September, 28th September and 18th October), Varieties (Koloss, Sugarbaby and Kaolack) and Not Significantly different at 5 percent level, using F-LSD

The better performance shown by Koloss variety in growth and yield attributes over kaolack and Sugarbaby varieties is attributed to differences in the genetic makeup of the individual variety studied. Koloss variety was characterized by higher vegetative growth than Kaolack and Sugarbaby varieties (Table 7). These attributes could have given it the advantage over the other varieties in yield characters (Chadha, 2007). The outcome of their performance may also be due to varietal differences. Tindal (1986); Uwah and Solomon (1999); Blomberg (2004) and Achigan-dako (2008) reported significant difference in the growth and yield

performance of different varieties of watermelon and this may be responsible for the higher yield exhibited by Koloss variety. When compared to the other varieties.

Table 4: Number of fruits (per plant), mean fruit weight (kg), fruit girth (cm), and total fruit yield (t/ha), as Influenced by planting dates and Varieties of watermelon in Ikom.

Treatments	No. of fruits (per plant)	fruit weight (kg)	Fruit girth (cm)	Total fruit yield (t/ha)
Planting dates				
8 th September	7.08	5.90	79.23	40.97
28 th September	5.17	4.10	68.10	28.47
18 th October	4.06	3.48	63.29	24.17
LSD (0.05)	1.50	1.50	5.01	5.12
Varieties				
Koloss	8.88	6.86	78.71	47.77
Sugarbaby	4.09	4.02	73.34	27.91
Kaolack	6.11	5.75	74.53	39.93
LSD (0.05)	1.21	1.10	2.17	7.15
Interaction				
PD1 X V1	8.53	6.43	78.63	40.12
PD1 X V2	6.17	3.66	69.26	26.57
PD1 X V3	7.30	5.31	71.51	38.91
PD2 X V1	7.11	6.24	75.83	39.40
PD2 X V2	6.21	5.61	67.70	23.34
PD2 X V3	6.33	4.58	74.83	27.19
PD3 X V1	5.90	4.41	70.11	28.33
PD3 X V2	4.33	3.37	65.19	23.57
PD3 X V3	5.20	4.17	68.40	27.44
LSD (0.05)	1.63	NS	NS	2.91

PD (1,2,and 3), Varieties (1,2 and 3) and NS = Planting dates (8th September, 28th September and 18th October), Varieties (Koloss, Sugarbaby and Kaolack) and Not Significantly different at 5 percent level, using F-LSD

Table 5: Production cost and economic returns analysis for watermelon production at three planting dates in Ikom.

Cost of material and inputs (#)	8 th September planting dates	28 th September planting dates	18 th October planting dates
Land acquisition	15,000	15,000	15,000
Seeds purchase	18,000	18,000	18,000
Fertilizer purchase	15,000	15,000	15,000
Tools and implements	10,000	10,000	10,000
Labour	20,000	20,000	20,000
Total cost of materials and inputs (#)	78,000	78,000	78,000
Number of fruits produced	350	159	152
Weights of fruits (kg)	4-6	3-5	2-4
Price of fruits (#)	800-1000	300-700	200-600
Revenue (#)	280,00-350,000	47,700-111,300	304,000-91,200
Gross revenue generated (#)	315,000	79,000	60,800
Net profit (#)	237,000	15,000	-17,200

Source: Production cost and economic returns data for planting dates, (2018).

Effect of interaction between planting date and varieties on the performance of watermelon

Interaction of 8th September planting date with Koloss variety gave significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) longer length of main vine (cm), higher number of leaves per plant, higher number of fruits per plant and higher total fruit yield (t/ha) than the other interactions (Tables 2,3, and 4). The higher number of fruits per plant and higher total fruit yield produced from interaction of September 8 planting date and Koloss variety can be attributed to the longer main vine and higher number of leaves. The interaction effect between the 28th September planting date and Sugarbaby variety exhibited the least performance among the various interactions studied.

Table 6: Production cost and economic returns analysis for three Varieties of watermelon produced at Ikom, Nigeria.

Cost of materials and inputs (#)	Koloss variety	Sugarbaby variety	Kaolack variety
Land acquisition	5,000	5,000	5,000
Seeds purchase	10,000	3,000	6,000
Fertilizer purchase	5,000	5,000	5,000
Tools and implements	9,000	9,000	9,000
Labour	7,000	7,000	7,000
Total cost of materials and inputs (#)	36,000	29,000	32,000
Number of fruits	200	110	140
Weights of fruits	4-6	2.5-4.0	3.5-5.0
Price of fruits	700-1000	300-500	350-700
Revenue (#)	140,000-200,000	33,000-35,000	98,000-49,000
Gross revenue generated (#)	170,000	44,000	73,500
Net profit (#)	134,000	15,000	41,000

Source: (Production cost and economic returns data for varieties of watermelon, 2018).

Table 7: Meteorological data

Months	Rainfall (mm)	Temperature (0c)	Sunshine (Hrs)	Relative Humidity (%)
January	19	26.8	12.0	60
February	42	27.9	12.0	60
March	105	28.5	12.8	65
April	167	28.1	12.0	70
May	257	27.4	12.0	75
June	412	26.6	12.2	73
July	431	25.6	12.1	80
August	222	25.4	12.0	85
September	204	26.0	12.5	80
October	136	26.5	12.6	75
November	69	27.1	12.7	63
December	16	26.8	12.5	63
Total	2080	322.7	147.4	851
Mean	173	26.9	12.2	70.91

Source: Ikom meteorological station weather data, 2018)

The outcome of these interaction effects between planting dates and varieties are in conformity with the reports of Ibudialo et al., (2013) and Akpan et al., (2016) who reported that planting dates in conjunction with varieties influenced performance of watermelon. Furthermore, the results on interaction effects indicated that the environmental conditions at the 8th September planting date was more favourable for Koloss variety to fully express its genetic potentials (Neidz et al., 1990; Musniki et al., 1999).

Production cost and economic returns for planting dates and varieties of watermelon

The outcome of production cost and economic returns for the various planting dates revealed that production of watermelon at the 8th September planting date generated the highest revenue as well as the highest profit margin when compared to the other planting dates. September 8 planting date had #27,300 as profit from the production of watermelon. This was followed by the 28th September planting date which recorded #15,000 as profit, while the 18th October planting date recorded a loss of # - 17,200 as shown from the economic returns of watermelon production at Ikom (Table 5).

The outcome of economic returns for varieties revealed that, Koloss recorded the highest profit from watermelon production when compared to the other varieties. The profit shown from the three varieties were in this order; Koloss variety (# 134000) >Kaolackvariety (# 41000) > Sugarbaby variety (# 15000). The production cost and economic returns showed that adoption of the 8th September planting date and Koloss variety of watermelon generated higher revenue and profit than other planting dates and varieties (Table 6).

Conclusion and Recommendation

The findings of this study showed that the 8th September planting date optimized the growth and yield of watermelon in the study area. Koloss variety responded better to the September 8 planting date as it exhibited superior performance relative to the other varieties in most of the measured parameters as well as having higher economic returns and profit. It is thus recommended for cultivation in the study area.

References

- Achigan-dako, E.G. (2008). Phylogenic and Genetic variation analysis in Cucurbits species (Cucurbitaceae) from West Africa; Definition of conservation strategies. Cuvullierverlay, Guttingen Germany. *Journal of Agriculture* 81: 25-28.
- Adekoya, M.A. (2008). Evaluation of variation, intercharacter correlation and performance of okra abelmoschus L.). *M.Sc dissertation, Department of Plant Breeding and Seeds Technology University of Agriculture Abeokuta*, 18:: 45-49.
- Akpan, E.A., V.S. Udoh, G.N. Udom, U.A. Essien and Ndaeyo N.U. (2016). Effect of seasons and varieties on growth and yield attributes of watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus (Thunb Mansf)*] in Itu Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Proceeding of Third Annual National Conference of Crop Science Society of Nigeria Nsukka*. Pp 150-157.
- Blomerg, M. (2004). The fruit seasons Savory Summer fruits. *The Gaines Ville Sun Press*. 7: 625-630.
- Brady, N. and R. Weil (2014). The nature and properties of soil. 14 Edition . *Prentice Hall Upper saddle River New Jersey*. 1012.P
- Bremmer, J.M. (1982). Total Nitrogen methods of soil analysis, Part 2 , 2nd Edition. *Agronomy Monography, No 9 Asia and SSSA Madison*, Pp915-928.
- Chadha, K.L. (2007). Handbook of Horticulture, Indian Council of Agricultural Research. New Delhi Pp 474-477.
- Chomicki, G. and S.S. Renner (2015). Watermelon origin with molecular Phylogenetic including of Linnaean materials, another example of museomcs. *New Philologist* 205: 523-526.
- David, L. (2005). Vegetables and Watermelon Horticulture. An African Native of World's Popularity. *Texas, T and M University, Aggie Press*.30: 66-68.
- Effiong, J. (2011). "Changing pattern of land use in South-Eastern Nigeria" *Journal of Sustainable Development*. 4(1): 25-30.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (2011). www.fao.org
- Guillame, C., and Renner, s. (2014). Origin of watermelon. First publish 31 October 2014, <https://doi.org/10111/nph,13163>
- Ibudialo, A.N., Ezema R.A., Ugwu, I. E., Nzekwe, C.A., and Edu, C.H. (2013). Comperative analysis of yield of four varieties of watermelon in Enugu. *South Eastern Nigeria Proceeding of the First Annual Conference of Crop Science Society of Nigeria CSSN in Nsukka*.
- Kinsley, O.I., A.A. Eucharia, and J.U. Mediong (2018). Comparative cost and return analysis of cassava production by adopters and non-adopters of improved cassava varieties among farmers in Ibesikpo Asutan LGA Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Global Journal of Agricultural Science*. 17: 33-41.
- Ministry of Agriculture, Ikom Local Government Area (2018). *Meteorological data*.136P
- Musnicki, C., P. Tola, P. and P.Musinka (1999). Effect of Agronomical and Eenvironmental Factors on Growth and Yield of Winter Oilseed crops. *Journal of Crop Science*. 20(2): 459-470.

- Neidz, R.P., S.S. Smith, K.B. Dunber, K.B. C.T. Stephen and H.T. Murakishi (1990). Factors influencing shoot regeneration for cotyledon of any explant of Cucumis melon. *Plant cell Tissue and Organ Structure. African Journal of Biological Science*. 40: 518-523.
- Ojeniyi, S. (2010). Tropical soil management and Agriculture in Nigeria. *Bash-Moses Publishers Ibadan Nigeria, Textbook*: 51-53.
- Passioura, J. (2007). The draught environment, physical and biology and Agricultural Perspective. *Journal of Experimental Biology* . 58: 133-117.
- Sonia, N. (2011). Nutritional facts about watermelon. *In www.Buzzle.com./25 October, 2018*.
- Strivastava, R. and Andrianor, V.M. (2004). Plant generation of watermelon (*Citullus lanatus*). *Pant cellreports pp 300 - 302*.
- Thulaja, N.R. (2005). Watermelon national library board Singapore North Carolina University. *Watermelon a Paper Presented at Chapel Hill* (<http://kwww.google.com>). 13 April 2018.S
- Tindal, H.D. (1986). Vegetables in the tropics. *ELBS Hongkong, Pp*. 150-152.
- Uwah, D.F. and M.G. Solomon (1999). Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on yield and yield components of watermelon [*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb Mansf)]. *Journal of Applied Chemistry and Agricultural Research*. 5:48-53.
- Uwah, D.F., U.L. Undie and N.M. John (2014). Comparative evaluation of animal manure on soil properties, growth and yield of sweet maize (*Zea mays L. Saccharata Strut*). *Tropical Agriculture Trinidad*. 91 (4): 220-234.